Agenda item	Discussion	Action
	An extensive discussion took place around the distinction between risks and threads, the types of risk that would need to be identified and recorded, different risk scores, whether the risk appetite of the trust would inform the scores, and which type of risks should trustees be made aware of. Trustees concurred with DU that the risk register would be an evolving picture. AS offered DU the opportunity to work together to refine the process.	DU to liaise with AS
	In response to a question from a trustee regarding whether the current system was achieving its purpose CR explained that with risk simplicity was always best. The interpretations of risk had to be clearly and consistently approached by all risk owners and therefore another cycle would be necessary in order to tidy up the current register.	
	Trustees reviewed the new Risk Management Policy and looked at the points that DU had included for discussion. Trustees agreed to consolidate the portfolio to fewer owners and were happy with the definition of risks and risk status. A discussion took place around the risk tolerance and appetite and DU agreed to bring the revised policy to the next meeting for ratification.	Review Risk Management Policy
	After careful consideration, trustees agreed that most risks in the risk register were operational and therefore trustees should only review strategic risks and core risks with high exposure and that the new risk management policy should clearly indicate the level of exposure for the trust. Trustees requested for the next Risk Register version to reflect the alterations discussed during the meeting.	Present new risk register version
	Trustees thanked DU for his report.	
9. GDPR Update Medium risks response plan	DU presented the highlights of the report and reminded trustees that the GDPR audit had been rebooked for June and SENTRY would present at the following meeting. The number of DPO leads had increased across the organisation with more DATA owners residing in the central team. A DATA mapping exercise would take place during the next term. GDPR SentryDATA owners resN 0 0 1m q7c⋊nBT/F1 11.041 0 036.1 107.9 361e6.1 across all academies and .1 363nd the details to governors a upTake numbers before the next meeting. t1.t313ng nd	

Agenda item	Discussion	Action
	was a concern. The new appointed NIA Operations Manager would be looking at this to try to understand why. All SARs requests had been completed. In response to a question from a trustee regarding whether staff were able to recognise SAR requests and treat them accordingly DU confirmed that the training had been fully embedded, and staff were confident enough to recognise any requests and liaise with the DPO to resolve them. NIA was the only school where DU was working with the school to resolve this. Trustees thanked DU for the report and praised the high standard of papers.	
10. Finance update		